•	_		•	•
v	n	•	ľ	1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

EED 0 0 2000

LODGED

COPY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIALLY

Rigoberto Aquilar-Camacho Case Number: CR 08-00134-001-PHX-DGC

	Trigo	Case Number. CR 00-00134-001-PHX-DGC
	ordance tablished	rith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following fact (Check one or both, as applicable.)
		and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant trial in this case.
$\boxtimes$		ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pendin his case.
		PART I FINDINGS OF FACT
	(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federa state or local offense.
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant fron imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions wi reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has no rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 841
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)
Ø	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination oconditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		Alternative Findings
$\boxtimes$	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
	(4)	

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## 

(2) If S If	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted evidence as to danger that:  I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight.  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lift released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceed the proceeding of the United States.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from we defend that the states from the defendant has no resources in the United States from we defend the states from the defendant has no resources in the United States from the defen	nt that:  ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lightest light of the charged offense, was in the light of	ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lightest light of the charged offense, was in the light of	ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lightest light of the charged offense, was in the light of	ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lightest light of the charged offense, was in the light of	ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lightest light of the charged offense, was in the light of	ne United States illegally. eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the lift released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceed Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States from which is the second of the lift of the li	eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proce Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this The defendant has no significant contacts in the United Sta The defendant has no resources in the United States from w	eedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
E   T   tc	Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this The defendant has no significant contacts in the United State The defendant has no resources in the United States from w	Court. tes or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from w	
to	The defendant has no resources in the United States from w	
	to assure his/her future appearance.	hich he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
$\Box$ $\tau$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
_ '	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.	
☐ T	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial family ties to Mexico.	antial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
□ т	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered	ed.
□ т	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact	t by fleeing from law enforcement.
□ т	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	incarceration and a maximum of
_	·	
rne defer criminal h	endant does not dispute the information contained in the Pre history	etrial Services Report, except:
		A PARTY OF THE PAR
la additio		
In additior rebuttable	on: ole presumtion case; defendant has an ICE detainer; strengt	h of government's case.

time of the hearing in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: \_ February 29, 2008\_

MICHELLE H. BURNS
United States Magistrate Judge